

## 2008 Session Evaluations

Viewer: Sita Seery

Analyst: Courtney Brown and Glenn Wheaton

Target number: 1

Session number: 12

Geographical location: Tuvalu

Discussion: First half of the session is better than the second half. In the first half, there are good descriptions of a maritime dominated environment and a good sketch of the island shape.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 1

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 2

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): partial

Number of session data pages: 7

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 3

Overall Clarity Score\*: 2

Target number: 4

Session number: 15

Geographical location: Fort Jesus, Mombasa

Discussion: Moderately good description of decayed and cavernous structure. An excellent sketch of arched doorways at the target.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 0

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): no

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): n/a

Number of session data pages: 7

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 2

Overall Clarity Score\*: 2

Target number: 7

Session number: 13

Geographical location: Sidney Opera House

Discussion: This appears to be a miss.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 0

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 0  
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes  
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): no  
Number of session data pages: 7  
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 0  
Overall Clarity Score\*: 0

Target number: 10  
Session number: 16  
Geographical location: Mt. Kilimanjaro

Discussion: This appears to be a miss in terms of describing the mountain topology. However, the viewer does describe the outdoors environment well, especially with regard to the forested area in the lower parts of the mountain.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 1  
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 0  
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes  
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): no  
Number of session data pages: 7  
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 0  
Overall Clarity Score\*: 1

Target number: 13  
Session number: 8  
Geographical location: U.S. Congress Building

Discussion: This session does not describe the actual buildings. But it does describe very accurately the people and social environment inside and nearby the buildings, including cigar smoking politicians. The low scores below reflect only the absence of descriptions of the buildings.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 1  
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 0  
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 0  
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes  
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): no  
Number of session data pages: 8  
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 0  
Overall Clarity Score\*: 1 (Due to the accurate description of the subjects.)

Target number: 16

Session number: 10

Geographical location: Malé International Airport, Malé, Maldives

Discussion: This appears mostly a miss with a disaster storyline. Some good low-level data involving water activities and gestalts.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 0

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 0

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 1

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): no

Number of session data pages: 6

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 1

Overall Clarity Score\*: 1

#### NOTES:

\* Overall Clarity Score (currently applicable only to 2008 target)

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors

\*\* The Presumptive Clarity Score is based on the quality and quantity of remote-viewing data that one would normally presume to be accurate given expectations regarding the target five years in the future regardless of timeline.

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors