

2008 Session Evaluations

Viewer: Anne M. Koide

Analyst: Courtney Brown and Glenn Wheaton

Target number: 1

Session number: 7

Geographical location: Vaitupu, Tuvalu

Discussion: Excellent description of structures, appropriate subjects, rural life, warm and pleasant environment.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 1

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes

Number of session data pages: 10

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 6

Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 4

Session number: 6

Geographical location: Fort Jesus, Mombasa

Discussion: The viewer correctly describes structures and a nearby mountain (Mt. Kilimanjaro is 150 miles West). The description of the nearby city with skyscrapers is excellent. Two sketches do show an arch configuration to one or more doorways. However, the canyon and towering theme appear to be decoding errors.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 3

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 3

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): no

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): n/a

Number of session data pages: 8

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 3

Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 7

Session number: 5

Geographical location: Sidney Opera House

Discussion: Excellent description of city structures near water. Curved topology of central structures is reflected in the data.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 3
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): no
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): n/a
Number of session data pages: 10
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 6
Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 10

Session number: 1

Geographical location: Mt. Kilimanjaro

Discussion: Excellent description of mountainous topology and rural African environment (even the animals).

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 3
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 3
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 3
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes
Number of session data pages: 14
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 7
Overall Clarity Score*: 3

NOTES:

* Overall Clarity Score (currently applicable only to 2008 target)

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors

** The Presumptive Clarity Score is based on the quality and quantity of remote-viewing data that one would normally presume to be accurate given expectations regarding the target five years in the future regardless of timeline.

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors